NEW ROUTES TO TELLURIUM(IV) FLUORIDE

J. H. MOSS, R. OTTIE AND J. B. WILFORD

Department of Chemistry, Teesside Polytechnic, Middlesbrough, Teesside TS1 3BA (Gt. Britain) (Received February 1, 1973)

SUMMARY

Three new routes, all indirectly using hydrogen fluoride as the source of fluorine, have been shown to give good yields of TeF_4 . These were: reaction of elemental tellurium with CuF_2 or FeF_3 , reaction of TeO_2 with these fluorides and the thermal decomposition of NaTeF₅ and KTeF₅. All of these reactions afford satisfactory yields of TeF_4 , but the reaction of FeF_3 and TeO_2 appears to be the most satisfactory.

INTRODUCTION

Previously, the most convenient synthesis¹ of TeF₄ involved the reaction of SeF₄ and TeO₂ at 80°. Other reactions have been reviewed². These involve the use of a fluorine source and generally give small yields of TeF₄. The work reported here was undertaken to see if convenient synthetic routes to TeF₄ existed which avoided the use of elemental fluorine at any stage. That such routes might exist was suggested by the moderate heat of formation³ of TeF₄, -994.2 kJ mol⁻¹, which also indicated that it would not be formed by reaction of hydrogen fluoride on elemental tellurium. The reaction of hydrogen fluoride with TeO₂ has been reviewed² and also does not appear to be suitable for preparation of TeF₄.

EXPERIMENTAL

Reactants were prepared by previously reported methods, thus: $CuF_2^{4,5}$, FeF₃⁶, NaTeF₅ and KTeF₅^{7,8} and copper(II) tellurite⁹.

Preparations of TeF₄ (Table 1) were carried out in a cold-finger sublimation apparatus containing a platinum crucible 1.7 cm in diameter and with an outer silica jacket heated by a small electric furnace. The receiving cold finger was also made of platinum. Reactants (A) and (B) [see Table 1] were mixed and the volatile product handled in a dry box over P_2O_5 . A layer of dried, finely powdered CaF₂

| A g mol Temp. (°C) Time (min) g mol Free Te Combined F Free Te Combined F (°a) Te (%a) Te (%a) | Reactant | weight | | | | | Reaction | conditions | TeF ₄ p | roduced | | Analys | sis* | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|---------------|----------------------|------------|
| CuF2 1.617 15.9 Te 0.468 3.67 800 90 0.468 2.30 63 2.7 62.7 37 FeF3 0.708 6.26 TeO2 0.339 2.12 700 90 0.333 1.63 77 <0.1 6.28 $3(10)$ NaTeF3 1.363 5.55 $ 700$ 90 0.594 2.92 53 1.9 62.6 37 * The weight of elemental Te in the product was subtracted from the weight of the impure TeF4 before calculating the percentage of com | A | ත | mol | æ | ත | mol | Temp. (° | C) Time (min) | 50 | mol | % | Free T (%) | e Combined Te (%) | F (%) |
| FeF ₃ 0.708 6.26 TeO ₂ 0.339 2.12 700 90 0.333 1.63 77 <0.1 | CuF_2 | 1.617 | 15.9 | Te | 0.468 | 3.67 | 800 | 06 | 0.468 | 2.30 | 63 | 2.7 | 62.7 | 37.2 |
| NaTeFs 1.363 5.55 — — — 700 90 0.594 2.92 53 1.9 62.6 37 * The weight of elemental Te in the product was subtracted from the weight of the impure TeF ₄ before calculating the percentage of com and F. Pure TeF ₄ contains 62.7% combined Te and 37.3% F. | FeF ₃ | 0.708 | 6.26 | TeO ₂ | 0.339 | 2.12 | 700 | 90 | 0.333 | 1.63 | 77 | < 0.1 | 62.8 | 36.6 |
| * The weight of elemental Te in the product was subtracted from the weight of the impure TeF ₄ before calculating the percentage of com and F. Pure TeF ₄ contains 62.7% combined Te and 37.3% F. | NaTeF5 | 1.363 | 5.55 | | ļ | 1 | 700 | 06 | 0.594 | 2.92 | 53 | 1.9 | 62.6 | 37.5 |
| | * The wei and F. Pu | ght of elé ire TeF4 c | smental 1 contains (| Fe in the 62.7 % co | product v mbined T | vas subtrac e and 37.3 | cted from th % F. | ne weight of the | impure 1 | ceF4 befc | ore calcul | ating the pe | ercentage of c | ombined Te |

PREPARATIVE EXPERIMENTS

TABLE 1

318

was spread over the mixed reactants in the crucible to prevent sputtering during the reaction. Thermal analyses (Table 2) were carried out on a Stanton TR1 thermobalance under nitrogen, with a gas flow rate of 400 cm³ min⁻¹, using crucibles as indicated in Table 2. X-ray diffraction traces were recorded on intermediates and involatile products using a Siemens Type F diffractometer, using CuK α radiation.

Samples of TeF₄ were analysed by dissolving them in molar KOH solution, any residual tellurium being filtered off and weighed. The solution was then reduced by SO_2 and the precipitated tellurium was also weighed. Fluoride ion in the same solution was determined by ion exchange using Zeocarb 225 resin, the liberated hydrofluoric acid being titrated with standard alkali.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three different types of reaction have been investigated for the preparation of TeF_4 , all indirectly using only hydrogen fluoride as the source of fluorine:

- (i) Reaction of elemental tellurium with inorganic fluorides.
- (ii) Reaction of TeO_2 with inorganic fluorides.

(iii) Thermal decomposition of alkali metal pentafluorotellurate(IV) complexes.

In (i) and (ii) excess of the metal fluoride was used, as otherwise reactions were found to proceed to completion extremely slowly and, where elemental tellurium was present, the platinum crucible became badly corroded. Satisfactory preparative yields of TeF_4 were obtained by each method, but in every case the product contained a little elemental tellurium. Chemical analysis (Table 1) indicated that no other materials were present in the volatile product.

Thermal analyses were carried out (Table 2) to ascertain the maximum amount of TeF_4 available from any reaction and to detect possible intermediate stages.

Reaction of CuF_2 with elemental tellurium was shown to be quantitative below 860°, producing TeF₄ as the only volatile product. The best preparative yield of TeF₄ was 68%. Thermal analysis indicated a complex decomposition. A single marked arrest in the reaction rate was observed at 450–480°, corresponding closely to a composition of Cu₄Te₃, identified previously from phase studies¹⁰. However, X-ray diffraction patterns obtained from mixtures held in this temperature range indicated the presence of Cu₂Te and not Cu₄Te₃. Subsequent reaction of the copper telluride intermediate with CuF₂ was slower than the initial reaction of CuF₂ with tellurium. The residue from thermal analysis experiments contained Cu₂O, presumably obtained by oxidation of the copper by atmospheric oxygen, which it was not possible to exclude completely from the thermobalance. The overall reaction was

 $2CuF_2 + Te = 2Cu + TeF_4$

| THERMAL | ANALYSI | S EXPERIN | 1 ENTS | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Reactant | weight | | | | | Reaction | condition | S | Cumulative | Product | 8 | | |
| A | 50 | mol | æ | ත | mol | Crucible a | Heating | Temperature range ^b (°C) | weight loss (g) | TeF ₄ mol | % | Intermediates | Others |
| | | | | | | | (-u.) | | | | | | |
| CuF_2 | 0.422 | 4.16 | Te | 0.262 | 2.06 | Pt | 200 | 320-430 | 0.172 | 0.85 | 41 | Cu4Te3, Cu2Te | Cu, Cu ₂ O |
| | | | | | | | | 430-860 | 0.414 | 2.04 | 66 | | |
| FeF ₃ | 0.462 | 4.09 | Te | 0.120 | 0.94 | Pt | 270 | 520-775 | 0.142 | 0.70 | 74 | | FeF2 |
| CuF_2 | 0.445 | 4.38 | TeO ₂ | 0.312 | 1.95 | Pt | 70 | 370-480 | 0.117 | 0.57 | 29 | CuTeO ₃ | |
| | | | | | | | | 500-950 | 0.384 | 1.89 | 97 | | Cu ₂ O, CuO |
| CuF ₂ | 0.705 | 6.95 | CuTeO ₃ | 0.258 | 1.08 | Pt | 270 | 505-890 | 0.217 | 1.07 | 66 | | Cu ₂ O, CuO |
| FeF3 | 0.525 | 4.65 | TeO2 | 0.315 | 1.97 | Pt | 230 | 420-530 | 0.114 | 0.56 | 28 | | |
| | | | | | | | | 530-800 | 0.401 | 1.97 | 100 | | α-Fe ₂ O ₃ |
| NaTeF, | 0.428 | 1.74 | | | | ΝN | 310 | 455-960 | 0.333 | 1.64 | 94 | | NaF |
| KTeF, | 0.284 | 1.09 | | | | Au | 300 | 570-900 | 0.260 | Λ | >100 ° | | KF |
| KTeF, | 0.965 | 3.68 | | | | с С | 270 | 570-850 | 0.416 | 2.04 | 55 | | KF d |
| KSbF ₆ | 0.252 | 0.92 | | | | Pt | 270 | 700900 | 0.134 | 9 | e | | KF |
| ^a The cru ^b The ter ^e Some k ^d This de ^e This co | icible dian inperature (F also v composit rresponds | meters we ranges a olatilised. ion was a s to a loss | re: Pt, 1.7 (re those for ullowed to p | cm; Au, J which th roceed or nol, 67 % | l.2 cm; (e rate of aly until of presu | C (vitreous), decomposition the overall of the derall of | 1.4 cm. ion was g | greater than 0.0 on of the resid | 01 g in 5 min 1e was K2Tef | , 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, | | | |

TABLE 2

The reverse of the reaction between CuF_2 and copper telluride to give TeF_4 and copper has been reported by Nichols³ as occurring at 185°.

Reaction of FeF_3 and elemental tellurium was briefly investigated. Thermal analysis showed the reaction to be slow and a mass loss corresponding to a yield of 74% of TeF₄ could be obtained below 800°. Above this temperature FeF₃ was volatile. The reaction was

$$4\mathrm{FeF}_3 + \mathrm{Te} = 4\mathrm{FeF}_2 + \mathrm{TeF}_4$$

Reaction of CuF_2 and TeO_2 was shown to give a good yield of TeF_4 by thermal analysis. The single reaction arrest corresponded to the formation of copper(II) tellurite as an intermediate according to the equation

$$3\text{TeO}_2 + 2\text{CuF}_2 = \text{TeF}_4 + 2\text{CuTeO}_3$$

The second reaction temperature range (Table 2) corresponded to that found for reaction of CuF_2 with a prepared sample of $CuTeO_3$, the products being the same. The poor crystallinity of all samples of $CuTeO_3$ precluded the use of X-ray diffraction in these studies.

Reaction of FeF₃ and TeO₂ gave quantitative formation of TeF₄ as the only volatile product, as shown by thermal analysis. The sample of TeF₄ obtained preparatively contained a visible but unweighable quantity of elemental tellurium. Thermal analysis showed a distinct reaction arrest to occur after a mass loss corresponding to 28% of the available TeF₄. The composition of the residue at this stage was close to Fe₂O₃•4TeO₂ and unreacted FeF₃. X-ray diffraction showed that all of the TeO₂ had reacted, but the powder pattern lines not due to FeF₃ did not correspond to those of a known iron tellurite or FeOF. On further heating, the overall mass loss was as expected from the equation

 $4\text{FeF}_3 + 3\text{TeO}_2 = 2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{TeF}_4$

Potassium pentafluorotellurate(IV) is recorded as being stable to decomposition¹¹ at 450°, in agreement with our observation that decomposition commences at 570°. No evidence of intermediates in the decomposition was obtained by either thermal analysis or X-ray diffraction examination of the partly decomposed material. Thus the reaction proceeds according to the simple equation

$$KTeF_5 = KF + TeF_4$$

This agrees with the earlier work of Edwards *et al.*¹¹, who found no evidence for the formation of $K_2 \text{TeF}_6$ on heating an equimolar mixture of KF and KTeF₅. Before complete decomposition occurs there is some volatilisation of KF, rendering the reaction unsuitable for the preparation of TeF₄. The high temperature of the decomposition is noteworthy as being only 130° lower than that of potassium hexafluoroantimonate(V) run under comparable conditions (Table 2). This suggests that TeF₄ has considerable affinity for the fluoride ion. Sodium pentafluorotellurate(IV) decomposed at a lower temperature (Table 2) and gave a satisfactory yield of TeF_4 without any volatilisation of the only other product, NaF. The higher decomposition temperatures of complex potassium fluorides compared to the corresponding sodium compounds is known for other systems¹². Attempts to prepare the presumably less stable complex LiTeF₅ by the same method as the sodium and potassium salts were unsuccessful.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Dr. F. G. Buttler for helpful discussions and the S.R.C. for a research grant awarded to one of us (R.O.).

REFERENCES

- 1 R. CAMPBELL AND P. L. ROBINSON, J. Chem. Soc., (1956) 785.
- 2 B. COHEN AND R. D. PEACOCK, Adv. Fluorine Chem., 6 (1970) 343.
- 3 M. J. NICHOLS, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Durham, 1958.
- 4 N. V. SIDGWICK, The Chemical Elements and their Compounds, Vol. 1, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1950, p. 152.
- 5 C. POULENC, Ann. Chim. Phys., 2 (1894) 58.
- 6 R. COLTON AND J. H. CANTERFORD, Halides of the First Row Transition Metals, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969, p. 272.
- 7 N. N. GREENWOOD, A. C. SARMA AND B. P. STRAUGHAN, J. Chem. Soc. (A), (1966) 1446.
- 8 J. W. MELLOR, Comprehensive Treatise on Inorganic and Theoretical Chemistry, Vol. XI, Longmans, London, 1931, p. 98.
- 9 J. W. MELLOR, *ibid*, p. 79.
- 10 M. HANSEN, Aufbau der Zweistofflegierung, Springer, Berlin, 1936, p. 647.
- 11 A. J. EDWARDS, M. A. MOUTY, R. D. PEACOCK AND A. J. SUDDENS, J. Chem. Soc., (1964) 4087.
- 12 D. W. A. SHARP, Adv. Fluorine Chem., 1 (1960) 82.